UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/596,325	06/29/2007	Graham R. Purkins	59030US004	5357	
	7590 06/23/201 IVE PROPERTIES CO		EXAMINER		
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			CARTAGENA, MELVIN A		
51. PAUL, MIN	1 33133-3427		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3754		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/23/2011	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Occurrence	10/596,325	PURKINS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MELVIN CARTAGENA	3754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this color (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ja 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4.5.8-13 and 16-18 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4.5.8-13 and 16-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access  Applicant may not request that any objection to the off  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	937 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)  1) \( \overline{\text{N}} \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	

Application/Control Number: 10/596,325 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 5, 8-13 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,164,494 to Marelli in view of US 3,655,952 to Johnson et al.

Marelli shows a liquid dosing dispenser with a device for counting dispensing doses, as seen in Figs. 1, having a fixed ratchet member 11, a trigger member 5 arranged to undergo reciprocal movement for actuation of the device, a counter 16 in the form of a ring arranged to undergo a counting movement and having a first region 18 for interaction with the trigger member and a second region 19 with multiple incline sections and teeth as seen in Figs. 5 and 6, a second counting ring 21 and an aerosol container 3 with a ferrule 4 for connecting the container to the counting device.

In reference to claims 10 and 11, the trigger has to be fully depressed for the counting ring to undergo rotation and to result in the device counting the actuation, see Figs. 5 and 6.

Marelli lacks the counter member in the form of a ring with teeth. Johnson show a liquid dosing dispenser as seen in Figs. 1 and 2, with a counter member 28 in the form of a ring with teeth 28. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the counter ring of the device of Marelli with a toothed

Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

counting ring to simplify and minimizing the number of elements for greater assurance that the member 28 will move as desired as taught by Johnson.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4, 5, 8-13 and 16-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELVIN CARTAGENA whose telephone number is (571)272-4924. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH (8:30AM to 7:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,325 Page 4

Art Unit: 3754

/M. A. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 3754

/KEVIN P. SHAVER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3754